Cognitive Interventions and Aging

index card. The strategy-control group was trained to use
interactive imagery and sentence generation to associate words
in each pair. The self-monitorea. group

d

trairea.

a).

hey.

and

no, self-monitorea. was also

uve instrategesic (imagery and sentence

we

paiimprove performance

7igands

could

was

enjoyed yThe trairea.

Consider two issues about these outcomes. First, performance gains were slightly smaller for the combination group than for the other training groups. However, all three training groups

pretraining test and on the posttraining test. Table 3 includes mean recall scores. As in McGuire (2001), to evaluate the effects of training we conducted several planned comparisons

self-monitoring training. First, the self-monitoring group re-ceived both strategy training and self-monitoring training so we could evaluate whether self-monitoring training could improve

Maki, R. H. (1998). Test predictions over text material. In D. J. Hacker, J. Dunlosky, & A. C. Graesser (Eds), Metacognition in educational theory and practice (pp. 117–145). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Matvey, G., Dunlosky, J., Shaw, R. J., Parks, C., & Hertzog, C. (2002). Age-related equivalence and deficit in knowledge updating of cue effectiveness. Psychology & Aging, 17, 589–597.

McGuire, C. L. (2001). Memory monitoring intervention for healthy older adults. Dissertation Abstracts International, 62B, 1109.

Metcalfe, J., & Kornell, N. (2005). A region (effte)10.2leSl2nal   mdel. (ef6047.7studhyt6ey