

I [[Z_iYki_i_edi, ijVyi, WdZ Vkj^ehfte\gj i `ehj^i f kXbyW_ed WjO`]]fi O%6mm

?- // @ž5 i 'A' =Ł1 flŁŁ1Ł ŁB7880 i '71 fl' - i 0'871' : 0Ł - 1 fl'7.
“... /ffj ; ; Ž 71 flŁ 1 /10žŁ 5 fl78, ŁŁ 1 flŁ - ž 17 /Ł Ł 5 7, ŁŁ 1 DŁ - ž 17 /ŁŁ flŁE' 5 05 (#

asked to predict the likelihood of being able to recall the

is a limit on the amount of information (four items; Cowan, 1999)

first word intact ("ART : _____"). On these trials, they were given 5 s to indicate their likelihood of recalling the second word ("girl") on a later test by typing in a number between 0-100 in a provided text box, where 0 indicates that there is no chance they will be able to correctly recall the second word later, and 100 indicates that they will for sure be able to correctly recall the second word later. The JOL prompt remained on the screen for the entire 5 s, regardless of how long it took participants to type in their response.

For the maintenance condition, after an initial study trial with a given item ("ART : girl"), participants were presented with a filler word-pair ("TOOL: hand"), which was immediately followed by a JOL prompt for the target maintenance item ("

(delayed vs. displaced vs. maintenance) was manipulated within participants. The materials and procedure were identical to Experiment 2.

Results

resolution in the true immediate (M

information from being maintained in primary memory. In

